SE .			ー ンT
2 2003	* * * * *	U.S. Pater	PTO/SB/21 (03-03) Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031 nt and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
(A)	no person	Application Number	ion of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. 09/762,772
TRANSMITTAL	TRANSMITTAL		2/13/2001
FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Filing Date First Named Inventor	Hideharu Ogawa
		Art Unit	3713
			Nguyen, Kim T.
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	16	Attorney Docket Number	30394-2
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation	After Allowance Communication to a Technology Center (TC) Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information
SIGNA	TURE (OF APPLICANT, ATTORN	EY, OR AGENT
Firm Mitchell P. Brook, Esq. (Reg. No. 32,967) or Luce, Forward, Hamilton Scripps LLP			
Signature Date August 19, 2003	4	<u>- </u>	
C	ERTIFIC	CATE OF MAILING	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being d	deposited wi	ith the United States Postal Service via	
MS Patent Application, Commissioner for Patents, Typed or printed Sara R. Hart	P.O. Box 1	450, Alexandria, VA 22313, on this dat	August 19, 2003

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature

Date | August 19, 2003

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.

12/2/03

Teresa

Please find enclosed a petition to withdraw holding of abandonment in view of the timely filing of an amendment, with a copy of the amendment attached to the petition. Please also find the originally filed amendment.

Typically, our policy has been for the SPE to deal with a late associated originally filed paper. What is done is that the SPE writes a letter indicating that the abandonment is vacated because of the original paper, and then the case is acted upon.

However, in this case, the amendment responds to a final rejection. This changes the analysis a bit; if the amendment doesn't satisfy 37 CFR 1.113 and 37 CFR 1.116, the mere timely filing of the paper doesn't save the case from abandonment.

Please have the examiner look at the amendment. If the amendment places the application in condition for allowance, so that it would have been entered after final and the case allowed (without any examiner's amendments), then please have the original paper entered, and the application passed to issue. The allowance should include a statement, signed by you, that the abandonment was vacated due to the late association of the March 4, 2003 paper, and the petition can be just given a paper # as a miscellaneous paper and endorsed as "moot."

If, however, the examiner feels that the amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance, please return the file to me with a note explaining briefly why. I will then do a decision dismissing the petition for Ms. Cross' signature.

Thanks,

Steve Marcus